Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
APR 23 2001
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

(3)

No. 00-1430

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

CHERYLYNN COSTNER; LET GO PRODUCTIONS,

PETITIONERS,

v.

COMIC RELIEF, INC.; HOME BOX OFFICE; ROBERT ZMUDA; JOHN DAVIES; JOSEPH TROIANI, PH.D.; DOLLY KELLERHOUSE; STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA; MITCHELL, SILBERBERG & KNUPP; WELLCOME; FIENMAN & APPLEGATE; CALIFORNIA STATE JUDICIARY; CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE; AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION; GOVERNOR PETE WILSON; COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; DOES 1 THROUGH 92,

RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

CHERYLYNN COSTNER
C/O America's Freedom Foundation
1156 Central Avenue, Suite 700
Los Angeles, California 90021
(323) 960-7704
In Pro Se, as Petitioners

LPP

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

A. NEW FACTS EXIST IN THIS CASE

Under the provisions of Supreme Court Rule 15 subsection 8, Petitioners Cherylynn Costner and Let Go Productions' respectfully submit this Supplemental Brief. The new facts being that:

1. None of the respondents or their attorneys filed opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

2. The recent verdicts in the *"Rampart Scandal"* prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that the criminal conduct within the Los Angeles County Police Department has occurred as a result of the lower courts' pattern, practice and custom to obstruct justice and that the **LAPD could be sued as a racketeering enterprise**.

3. Last week's Cincinnati riots and the closure of the entire town over the sacred Easter and Passover holiday is indicative that the minorities believe that violence is required in obtaining justice. The corruption of our legal system has caused an increasing number of individuals to believe that there is no justice in our legal system, which has been fixed to serve the interest of the rich and powerful.

This case demonstrates that we have a crisis in California and elsewhere in this nation far worst than the *"Energy Crisis."* What we have is a *"Justice Crisis"* which is on the verge of a total melt down of the People's trust in our lawyers, legal system or the courts willingness to administer *"Justice for All."* This is commanded in the United States Constitution and demanded by a free people. This crisis unchecked by this Court will result in the fulfillment of the prophecy of the U.S. Supreme Court Justice Brandeis almost sixty years ago that the corruption of our legal system will create anarchy and eventually destroy our Nation.

B. RESPONDENTS ARE IN DEFAULT.

It is obvious that the respondents have no defense. In addition, their attorneys did not want to risk filing a frivolous motion or lie to this Court as they have done in the lower courts, where it was obvious they knew that the system of justice was fixed by the judges to deprive the average people of their rights. Respondents now feel that because the United States Supreme Court hears 9% or so of the petitions for certiorari that they can bank on their injustice to be further ignored and covered up under the color of (Supreme Court) law.

They expect the odds to be in their favor that this Court won't accept certiorari from this Pro Se litigant. *". . . Also a claim will not be stale for a laps of time when the owner is prevented from enforcing it,"* Buchannon v. Upshaw 1 HOW 56 11 L. Ed 46.

Petitioners therefore respectfully request that this Court send a message to all those who would abuse their power, and public trust. Let it be know that, even a person of humble position in society with no political power, can at the end of the day know that at least the U.S. Supreme Court's door is not barred to those seeking justice, no matter how insignificant officers of the court assume the little people to be.

It is this Court's moral and constitutional duty to supervise the lower courts and to purify our reservoir of justice. This courts failure to act now would give he appearance that this Court approves this kind of conduct.

C. RESTORING JUSTICE IN THE COURTS IS OF CRITICAL NATIONAL IMPORTANTS

The Court by accepting Certiorari will force the respondents and their lawyers to answer to this Court and to the People their:

1. Polluting of our temples of Justice (Courts);

2. The fixing of our legal system where the outcome is predetermined;

3. Betrayal of public trust;

4. Abuse of power;

5. Obstruction of justice, and;

6. Betrayal of the Courts' duty to be guards of our liberty, defenders of the rule of law and protectors of the Courts' integrity as a truth seeking form where the People can **Peacefully** seek redress for grievances and expect to receive Justice.

The United States Attorney Generals' Office investigated and prosecuted officers in the Los Angeles County Police Department where it was found, in pertinent part that, Police officers obstructed justice and lied to the court. Today, Friday April 20, 2001 US District Court Judge Gary A. Feess ruled again that the Los Angeles Police Department could be sued as a racketeering enterprise, Los Angeles Times, Metro (B section) page 1. Most likely, the L.A. Police officers would not have wanted to commit their crimes unless, like the respondents and their attorneys in this case, they believed they could get away with murder because the system of justice would be fixed in their favor prior to the United States Attorney General' s intervention.

Should our legal system fail to be restored to its Constitutionally mandated function, the lower courts will lose all credibility and be seen by the *"Average Man"* as the home of tyranny. This would leave the People with no other place to turn except to the protections afforded by our U. S. Constitution Second Amendment.

An example of the explosion of *"every man becoming a law unto himself"* happened last week. On Easter and Passover holidays the State of Ohio shut down the City of Cincinnati at dusk due to random violence that broke out between minority people in an

attempt to get the attention of the legal establishment in pursuance Justice because of the deaths of five un-armed local men. If the rioters would have had faith in the Courts to honestly be administers of Justice, the State of Ohio could have avoided suffering millions of dollars of property damage and human injuries.

> *"The mere formal right of access to the Courts does not pass Constitutional muster but rather access must be adequate, effective, and meaningful, and interference with the rights of access to the courts gives rise to a claim for relief under the Civil Rights Act under 1871, and also could be considered as a conspiracy to obstruct justice,"* Ryland v. Shapiro 708 F2d 967 (1983.)

Meaningful access to the courts and fair and impartial administration of Justice effects the lives of each and every American. On April 17, 2001, Mr. Paul D. Clement, Principal Deputy Solicitor General informed Ms. Costner that *"Should the Court invite a statement of our views prior to acting on your petition, we will carefully consider the material you have sent in formulating those views."* Ms. Costner is not a practicing lawyer and as such may not have provided the Court full facts and law to completely explain the issues in her petition. Whereas the issues raised are of national importance, it could be helpful to the Court to request the legal expertise of the executive branch of government to file an amicus brief.

> Chief Justice Earl Warren wrote that *"[The Chief Prosecution witness], by his [tainted] testimony, has poisoned the waters in the reservoir of justice and the water cannot be cleansed without first draining it of all impurities . . .duty, it is to see that the waters of justice are not polluted. Pollution having taken place here, the condition should be remedied at the earliest opportunity. ,"* US v. Polk 607 F2d 840 (1979).

The importance of the issues in this case can not be over emphasized. The ramifications of the evidence in

the record has far greater impact than the *"Rampart Scandal,"* for it is no stretch to conclude that the LAPD corruption is only the tip of the iceberg of the corruption and abuse of power across our entire nation.

A long established principal of Angelo American juris prudence dating back to the King's bench, is that it is the duty of defense attorneys is to defend their clients whole heartedly including the provoking of civil insurrection. To the contrary, the front page of LA Times, April 21, 2001 describes what happens today when licensed attorneys whole-heartedly defend a client. Criminal Superior Court Judge in Oakland, California sentenced Maureen Kallins to twenty days in jail with a fine of 4,300 dollars.

The crime Ms. Kallins allegedly committed was that she aggressive defended her clients Constitutional rights. Yet, Petitioners have brought to the Court's attention the customary treasonous conduct in the lower courts without the fear of losing a license to practice law because Ms. C ostner doesn't have one. This is a timely opportunity for the Court to preserve, protect and defend the United States Constitution and restore "*Justice for All.*"

D. CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned compelling issues regarding preserving the integrity of our legal system, rule of law and our constitutional republic, Petitioners humbly requests for this Court to accept certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 21, 2001

Cherylynn Costner
In Pro Se, as Petitioners